

To: Wharton, Steve[Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]
From: Holmes, Mike
Sent: Mon 6/10/2013 6:47:00 PM
Subject: RE: Community update agenda

I agree.

I think Peter and the rest of ARSG have the same understanding, they just want to keep inserting that this is a collaborative process.

Mike

From: Wharton, Steve
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 6:37 PM
To: Holmes, Mike
Subject: RE: Community update agenda

Mike, I would recommend that we exercise some caution regarding statements about the level of involvement Superfund will or will not have in future activities in the upper Animas, particularly when there are non-regulatory parties included in the distribution. I would not want the community thinking that the EPA has abandoned the concept of listing the area on the NPL. Rather, I think it is more accurate to say that the EPA is delaying any further action on the listing process pending the outcome of a number of recent efforts in the watershed including those of the ARSG.

Steve

Steve Wharton, Unit Chief
Superfund Remedial Response Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202
Ph: 303-312-6935
Fax: 303-312-7151
E-mail: wharton.steve@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Holmes, Mike
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 11:09 AM
To: Peter Butler; 'Brent Lewis'; 'Kay Zillich'; 'Larry Perino'; 'Todd Hennis'
Cc: 'Bill Simon'; Wall, Dan; Wharton, Steve; Linn, Peggy
Subject: RE: Community update agenda

Peter: that sounds good.

Mike

From: Peter Butler [**Personal Email/Ex. 6**]
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 4:04 PM
To: Holmes, Mike; 'Brent Lewis'; 'Kay Zillich'; 'Larry Perino'; 'Todd Hennis'
Cc: 'Bill Simon'; Wall, Dan; Wharton, Steve; Linn, Peggy
Subject: RE: Community update agenda

Mike – Got your first two points. I also talked to Dan Wall a day or two ago.

With regards to Superfund. I think it needs to be brought up that there are currently no efforts to pursue a listing. That will be a question on people's minds.

I think it's best if I bring up the issue in the intro to the meeting. Basically I'd say we are pursuing a collaborative process instead of a Superfund approach and then we will launch into a big picture summary of what is occurring under that collaborative process.

Peter Butler
970-259-0986

From: Holmes, Mike [mailto:Holmes.Michael@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 3:52 PM
To: Peter Butler; Brent Lewis; Kay Zillich; Larry Perino; Todd Hennis
Cc: Bill Simon; Wall, Dan; Wharton, Steve; Linn, Peggy
Subject: RE: Community update agenda

Peter: the agenda looks OK, with a couple of edits.

In 2. A. ii: we are only conducting a aquatic risk assessment and aquatic dependent wildlife, not a human or terrestrial at this point. So please take the human or terrestrial out.

In 4. A. Dan will be presenting not Robyn.

In 5.b. who will be presenting the Use or non-use of Superfund?

Mike Holmes
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA, EPR-SR
1595 Wynkoop St.
Denver, CO 80202
phone: 303-312-6607
mobile: 720-480-2793
holmes.michael@epa.gov<mailto:holmes.michael@epa.gov>

From: Peter Butler [mailto: **Personal Email/Ex. 6**]
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 10:09 AM
To: Brent Lewis; Kay Zillich; Larry Perino; Holmes, Mike; Todd Hennis
Cc: Bill Simon
Subject: Community update agenda

Ok folks -

Here is our current draft of the Community Update agenda for June 20th. The items in red are simply notes of what may be discussed. The red lettering will not be part of the agenda for everyone.

I'm thinking about 20 minutes or so each for items 2-4. No more than 15 minutes each for items 5-8. Does that seem reasonable?

Also, I know for items 2-4, there will undoubtedly be powerpoint presentations. I would like to know if anyone will need the computer and projector for items 5-8.

Thanks.

Peter Butler
970-259-0986